

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/015.	287 01729	798 NOZAKI		К	980055
	IM51/0324 — ARMSTRONG WESTERMAN HATTORI MCLELAND AND NAUGHTON			EXAMINER CHU. J	
1725 K : SUITE 1	STREET N W			ART UNIT 1752	PAPER NUMBER
				DATE MAILED:	03/24/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



09/015,287

Applicant(s)

NOZAKI ET AL

Examiner

Office Action Summary

John Chu

Group Art Unit 1752



\mathbb{X} Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1/28/98 AND 7/26/</u>	/98			
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) 1-23	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s) <u>18-23</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
☐ Claims				
Application Papers	•			
X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.			
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.			
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
	f the priority documents have been			
X received.				
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun	nber)			
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	·			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				
X Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)3			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 Notice of Draftsperson PTO-94 Not	18			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON 1	THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

Application/Control Number: 09/015,287

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the application filed January 26, 1998 and the IDS received July 16, 1998.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to polymer composition, classified in class 430, subclass
 270.1.
- II. Claims 18-23, drawn to method of use, classified in class 430, subclass 325.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition as recited can be used in a materially different process such as a process for forming parts or structures by injection molding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of there recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for the examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Steve Adrian on March 19, 1999 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-17.

Application/Control Number: 09/015,287

Art Unit: 1752

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 18-23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP-09-090637 (Nozaki et al).

The claimed invention is drawn to an acid sensitive polymer comprising a film-forming polymer a carboxyl group bonding to a side chain of said polymer main chain, said carboxyl group having a protective group of the lactone structure represented in claim 1 and an additional acidic functional group bonding to a side chain of said polymer main chain., said acidic functional group having an acid-cleavable protective group

Art Unit: 1752

The claims are further directed to a resist composition comprising a polymer as recited in claim 1 and a photoacid generator.

Nozaki et al discloses a photoresist composition comprising a resin having a lactone as a residue in an acrylate monomer with an acid generating compound. The examples disclose butyrolactone on the monomeric group which is similar to the claimed scope, see pages 5 and 6.

Nozaki et al lacks the isomeric lactone compounds recited in dependent claims 1 and 8 as claimed.

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of chemically amplified resist compositions to use isomeric lactone groups in place of the lactone group in Nozaki et al and reasonably expect same or results because the isomers are equivalent groups and the skilled artisan would expect same or similar results for the photolithographic properties as recited in Nozaki et al. Motivation is based on the desire to have an acid cleavable polymer which is aqueous alkaline soluble upon exposure to actinic radiation with the reasonable expectation of having the same results from the isomeric compounds.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Heiba et al and disclose butyrolactones in copolymeric materials.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/015,287

Art Unit: 1752

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

John S. Chu

Primary Examiner, Group 1700

J.Chu March 20, 1999